

## PLAY THERAPY QUESTION

### How Does a Client-Centered Play Therapist Handle Revelations of Abuse and Similar Issues?

by Sandra Frick-Helms

This is the third in a series of columns based on questions, sent to me by graduate students taking a play therapy (PT) course taught via telecommunications. In these columns, I've made no secret of my (research supported) belief that PT should always involve correctly adhering to the play therapist's choice of theoretical framework(s) for practice. The questions, upon which this column is based, address whether or not a client-centered (CC) approach should be "abandoned," when a child reveals abuse (or something equally serious) during a CCPT session. Let's look at one of the original questions that inspired this column.

**Question 1:** *The CCPT approach states it never teaches. If, during a PT session, a child reveals she or he is in an abusive situation, isn't it more ethical to change approaches and become more directive? Sometimes individuals, who are learning about CCPT, "hear" the instructions about CC "core conditions," as if they are being made about therapy in general. They react to this (mistaken) belief by resisting the idea that tools, they have already found valuable, cannot be used.*

This belief may extend to an idea that, if they use CCPT, they can't ever address a situation directly. Teaching only "violates" the theoretical formulations of CCPT, not the principles of therapy as a whole. While, teaching (or praising, or explaining, or questioning) are not CC; they can be used as tools in non-CC portions of therapy sessions, or in an educational format outside the therapy session. This does not mean that the CC play therapist would treat the incident in a CC manner and never address it directly. As will be seen below, ethical responsibilities can and must be met, regardless of the theoretical approaches used.

This leads to some of the more specific questions that were asked, regarding how the CC play therapist handles situations, such as a child who reveals abuse during a session:

**Question 2:** *How would a CC play therapist handle a situation in which a child reveals evidence that (s)he is abusing or seriously aggressing against another (smaller) child? (3) In situations, where the play therapist decides that a situation needs to be dealt with directly, how would the therapist transition out of*

Play Notes Volume 8, Number 2

## CCPT?

### Why It's Important to Adhere to the CC Theoretical Framework

In addition to answering the "how," of this question, it will be important to look at the "why." One of the major strengths of CCPT is that it facilitates establishing and maintaining a secure, trusting child-therapist relationship. Theoretically, this is because, when the play therapist adheres to the CC "core conditions" empathy, unconditional positive regard (UPR), and genuineness or congruence, the child learns that (s)he will be heard when (s)he is ready and without judgement. In a previous column, I discussed how criticism, praise, and questions could give the child a message that there were conditions for receiving positive regard. If a child has come to trust that (s)he can say anything to the therapist without receiving judgement or disapproval; suddenly ending the CC portion of a session, to teach her/him about the situation raised, might give the impression that this is not an acceptable area. This does NOT mean that the therapist will not deal with the situation directly. When, such a situation occurs, the CC therapist would respond empathically. For example, when a play therapist responds to a child's revelation of abuse ("My daddy hurt me like this," during socio-dramatic play); with a CC response ("You want me to know that your daddy hurt you like that") while maintaining the same level of UPR, the child receives the message, "you can continue to feel safe and to trust me, even when you talk about your abuse."

### How is the Immediate Situation Handled?

Obviously, play therapists have an ethical responsibility to address (and report) allegations of abuse and suspicions that a child may be offending against other children. The ethical responsibility to report extends to informing the child that a report will be made. The play therapist would end the CC portion of the PT session early enough to take care of these ethical issues. The session would be ended in the same manner and with the same (structuring) communications as used in all other CCPT sessions (two time warnings and an ending statement). Some CC play therapists might prefer a more specific time warning and/or structuring statement, such as, "(Child's name), it's time to stop the "special play" part of our time together; so we can talk about something important." After using an appropriate structuring statement to end the CC portion of the PT session; the therapist

could refer to the preparation the child was given for PT. The play therapist could use a statement such as, "remember I told you that, in our time together I would be like the leader (team captain) and you would be like the follower (team member)? Now I'm being the leader (team captain) so I can tell you what you can do the next time (abusive situation) happens to you" (James, 1989). If there is a need for a report to be made, this should be told to the child also (APT, 2000). If in doubt as to what is reportable, the APT (2000) *Play Therapy Practice Guidelines* indicate that, "play therapists keep information confidential except when disclosure is required to prevent clear and imminent danger to the child client or others." Play therapists also become cognizant of state law related to confidentiality and comply with it. It is important to note that, even in situations where no report is indicated (e.g. report has already been made), it is important to clearly document such incidents. If possible, a colleague and/or supervisor (and, often, the child's caretaker) should be notified regarding the details of the situation, using, as much as possible, the child's exact words and behaviors. In addition to providing protection for the child and the play therapist; according to APT (2000) "play therapists responsibly consult with other health care professionals and child care providers when in doubt."

### **When Might the Situation Require Directive Intervention?**

Sometimes, the child's statement/play reveals a misperception or inaccurate belief. A common instance of this occurs when a child incorporates, into play, her/his belief that (s)he is responsible for abuse. Or the child might attribute incorrect motivations to a perpetrator or other individual; such as a belief that: "daddy hit me because he wants to make me be good;" or "the doctor stuck the needle in my back because he likes to hurt kids." Often inaccurate perceptions/beliefs can be dealt with by continuing to use CC responses. The child might continue "playing out" the inaccurate perceptions/beliefs, and having them empathically responded to and with UPR, through many sessions, without appearing to gain relief. Many child therapy experts believe there is a point at which some directive intervention becomes necessary (James, 1989; Terr, 1991). The thinking is as follows: If the child persists in playing out a belief that may be psychologically damaging to her/his self-esteem, body image, etc. and the therapist validates the belief by empathically reflecting it, long term

psychological harm may result. In addition to theoretical reasons for directive intervention, there is a growing body of research that indicates that directive, abuse-focused interventions should be used with abused children, at least in the case of sexual abuse (Berliner & Elliott, 1996; Cohen & Mannarino, 1993 a-c, 1997, 1998 a-c; Deblinger, Lippman, & Steer, 1996; Deblinger, McLeer, & Henry, 1990; Friedrich, 1996; Mannarino & Cohen, 1996; Mannarino, Cohen, & Smitt, 1991).

If the play therapist decides to intervene directly, the CC portion of the PT would be ended in a similar manner to what is described above. Then the pre-planned directive intervention would be delivered. If the therapist believes the child might be distracted from the directive intervention by the toys that were used in the previous CC play or by the atmosphere of the CC playroom; the directive intervention can be carried out in another location. This might be a section of the playroom or therapist's office reserved for such activities. (During the CC portion of the PT session; a limit can be set on carrying the CC play into the reserved area, in the same manner as a limit is set on a computer in an office that serves also as a playroom.) Play therapists who routinely use both CC and more directive interventions, often arrange and stock their playrooms differently than the "typical" CCPT playroom. I like to use a modification of what O'Connor (2000) describes in his discussion of "setting up the playroom." My ideal playroom contains child-size table & chairs, very generic versions of a few of the domestic props found in a CC playroom (stove, sink). Everything else is kept in a separate cabinet or closet that is close to or inside the playroom.

### **Steps Summarized**

So, basically, the answer to the original question for this column involves several steps the play therapist should follow: (1) determine if the child has revealed something in her/his play that requires a direct response or intervention, and/or documentation/report; (2) give a CCPT response to what the child reveals/shows; (3) when possible, allow enough time in the session to deliver the direct response or directive intervention; (4) end the CC portion of the session in the same manner as other CCPT sessions are ended; (5) carry out the direct response or directive intervention; (6) document what occurred in the CC portion of the session and report, as appropriate, what direct response or directive intervention(s) was/were

used.

Association for Play Therapy (2000). *Play therapy practice guidelines*. Endorsed by APT Board of Directors, 2/12/00, APT.

Berliner, L. & Elliott, D.M. (1996). Sexual abuse of children. In J. Briere, L. Berliner, J.A. Briere, J., Berliner, L., Bulkley, J.A., Jenny, C., & Reid, T. (1996). *The APSAC handbook on child maltreatment*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Cohen, J.A. & Mannarino, A.P. (1993). A treatment model for sexually abused preschoolers. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 8(1), 115-131.

Cohen, J.A. & Mannarino, A.P. (1996a). A treatment outcome study for sexually abused preschool children: Initial findings. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 35 (1), 42-50.

Cohen, J.A. & Mannarino, A.P. (1996b). Factors that mediate treatment outcome of sexually abused preschool children. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 34(1), 1402-1410.

Cohen, J.A. & Mannarino, A.P. (1996c). The Weekly Behavior report: A parent report instrument for sexually abused preschoolers. *Child Maltreatment*, 1, 353-360.

Cohen, J.A. & Mannarino, A.P. (1997). A treatment study for sexually abused preschool children: Outcome during a one year follow-up. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 36 (9), 1228-1235.

Cohen, J.A. & Mannarino, A.P. (1998a). Creating a curriculum on childhood traumatic stress. *Child Maltreatment*, 3(1), 53-62.

Cohen, J.A. & Mannarino, A.P. (1998b). Factors that mediate treatment outcome of sexually abused preschool children: Six- and 12-month follow-up. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 37(1), 44-51.

Cohen, J.A. & Mannarino, A.P. (1998c). Interventions for sexually abused children: Initial treatment outcome findings. *Child Maltreatment*, 3(1), 17-26.

Deblinger, E., Lippman, J., & Steer, R. (1996). Sexually abused preschool children suffering posttraumatic stress symptoms: Initial treatment outcome findings. *Child Maltreatment*, 1, 310-321.

Deblinger, E., McLeer, S.V., & Henry, D. (1990). Cognitive-behavioral treatment for sexually abused children suffering post-traumatic

stress: Preliminary findings. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 29 (5), 747-752.

Friedrich, W.N. (1996b). An integrated model of psychotherapy for abused children. In J. Briere, L. Berliner, J. A. Bulkley, C. Jenny, & T. Reid (Eds.), *The APSAC handbook on child maltreatment*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

James, B. (1989). *Treating traumatized children*. Lexington, MA: Lexington Books.

O'Connor, K.J. (2000). *The play therapy primer*. NY: Wiley.

Mannarino, A.P. & Cohen, J.A. (1996). Family-related variables and psychological symptom formation in sexually abused girls. *Journal of Child Sexual Abuse*, 5, 105-119.

Mannarino, A.P., Cohen, J.A., Smith, J.A. (1991). Six- and twelve-month follow-up of sexually abused girls. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 6(4), 494-511.

Terr, L. (1991). *Too scared to cry*. NY: Basic Books.

Answers to "PT Questions" are the opinion of the author. Feedback is invited. Additional questions for the column are also requested. "PT Questions" are used with the consent of Sandra Frick-Helms and *SCAPT NewsLetter*, where they are originally published. Dr. Frick-Helms is Professor Emerita, University of South Carolina College Of Nursing and Adjunct Professor, USC School of Medicine, Columbia SC & Converse College, Spartanburg SC and is Clinical Editor of the APT NewsLetter. She can be contacted at sandfrh@sc.rr.com.